City of California City

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

#1a - Dates cannot be in the future.

#2 – Applicant must verify response.

#5 – Applicant must verify response.

#8b – The narrative does not support "5 to 19 times per year". Only onsite education efforts are eligible for credit.

#9 – Applicant must verify response.

#12 a&b – The narrative does not support the "Yes" response.

#13 – The narrative does not support "On most (50% or more) holidays and weekends".

Ground Operations G11-03-26-G01

Project Description

No comment.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff Maintenance Worker The rate appears excessive. Need to explain why the two "maintenance worker" rates are different.
- Staff Equipment retrieval Items are questionable as narrative states "may be acquired".
- Indirect Grant Admin "Grant writing" is not eligible for reimbursement.

Evaluation Criteria

- #4 Need to identify the dates of all meetings listed.
- #5 Need to identify how the Desert Tortoise Preserve will participate in the project.
- #8 The narrative does not support a "Yes" response.

|--|

Project Description

No comment.

Project Cost Estimate

- Contracts Clarify if there will be ten separate contracts for "Construction Contract" and if the contractors will be paid for a portion of work and the rest of the contractor's time will be volunteered.
- Equipment Purchases Items listed in the Note section for "Camping Spot" do not qualify as equipment and should be moved to the Materials/Supplies category. Also, provide a break-down of the cost for each item listed.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 The narrative does not support the items checked. Installation of campsites
 would not provide for diversified OHV use. It appears the park already provides
 for OHV use for those items checked. Also, a bicycle does not qualify as an
 OHV.
- #6 Installation of the solar-powered lights would not qualify as 50% of the project activities. Also, natural landscaping does not qualify as sustainable technology.
- #10 The local contractors would be paid contractors for this project, therefore cannot be claimed as a partner. "OHV rider visitors" do not qualify as a partner organization.
- #11 Narrative does not support response
- #13 Need reference document with date. Code of Federal Regulations
 definition does not qualify as a reference document...reference document should
 be from a document such as an Environmental Impact Statement completed for
 the OHV park.

Law Enforcement	G11-03-26-L01
Needs Assessment	
No comment.	

Law Enforcement Certification

• No comment.

Project Cost Estimate

No comment.

Education and Safety G11-03-26-S01 Project Description

No comment.

Project Cost Estimate

• Materials/Supplies – Rider ID cards not mentioned in Project Description.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 Applicant must verify response.
- #13 Volunteer staff was not identified in the Project Description or Cost Estimate. Project utilizes paid staff.